

# On Multipliers Preserving the Classes of Functions with a Given Major of the Modulus of Continuity

JÜRI LIPPUS

*Eesti Teaduste Akadeemia, Küberneetika Instituut,  
Tallinn, Estonia, USSR*

*Communicated by P. L. Butzer*

Received May 11, 1990

A necessary and sufficient condition is obtained for  $(C_\omega, C_\omega)$  multipliers in the case of a slowly decreasing majorant of the modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$ . For the Lipschitz classes this result is equivalent to a theorem of A. Zygmund (*J. Math. Mech.* 8 (1959), 889–895). © 1991 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two classes of  $2\pi$ -periodic integrable functions. We say that a two-way infinite sequence of complex numbers  $\lambda = \{\lambda_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$  is a multiplier from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and we write  $\lambda \in (X, Y)$ , if whenever

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx} \quad (1)$$

is the Fourier series of a function  $f$  in  $X$ , the series

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_k c_k e^{ikx} \quad (2)$$

is the Fourier series of a function  $f_\lambda$  in  $Y$ .

Let  $C$  denote the class of  $2\pi$ -periodic continuous functions with the norm

$$\|f\|_C = \max_{-\pi \leq x \leq \pi} |f(x)|$$

and  $L$  the class of  $2\pi$ -periodic integrable functions with the norm

$$\|f\|_L = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)| dx.$$

Let  $\omega(\delta)$  be a given modulus of continuity and let  $C_\omega$  denote the class of continuous functions, for the moduli of continuity of which,

$$\omega(f, \delta)_C = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)\|_C,$$

we have

$$\omega(f, \delta)_C = O(\omega(\delta)).$$

It is well known (see e.g. [5, p. 176]) that the sequence  $\lambda$  is of the type  $(C, C)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_k e^{ikx} \quad (3)$$

is a Fourier-Stieltjes series. For the type  $(C_\omega, C_\omega)$  this condition is sufficient but no longer necessary.

In 1959 A. Zygmund [4] proved that if  $\omega(\delta) = \delta^\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then  $\lambda \in (C_\omega, C_\omega)$  if and only if the indefinite integral of the series (3),

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \sum_{k \neq 0} (ik)^{-1} \lambda_k e^{ikx}, \quad (4)$$

belongs to the Zygmund class in integral metrics  $L_*$ , i.e.,  $\omega_2(\mathcal{L}, \delta)_L = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|\mathcal{L}(\cdot + 2h) + \mathcal{L}(\cdot) - 2\mathcal{L}(\cdot + h)\|_L = O(\delta)$ .

In [1] it is shown that this theorem is valid for a wider class of moduli of continuity, namely for those satisfying the condition

$$\int_0^\delta \frac{\omega(t)}{t} dt + \delta \int_\delta^{2\pi} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^2} dt = O(\omega(\delta)). \quad (5)$$

(See also [2].) More precisely

**THEOREM A.** *A necessary condition for  $\lambda$  to be of the type  $(C_\omega, C_\omega)$  is that  $\mathcal{L}$  should belong to  $L_*$ . If the modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$  is such that (5) holds, then this condition is also sufficient.*

In the present paper, we shall find a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\lambda$  to be of the type  $(C_\omega, C_\omega)$  with  $\omega(\delta)$  slowly decreasing. We shall also show that if  $\omega(\delta)$  satisfies (5) then this condition is equivalent to Theorem A.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $E_n(f)_L$  denote the best approximation of the function  $f$  with trigonometric polynomials of a degree not exceeding  $n$  in integral metrics. Let  $v_n f$  denote the  $n, 2n$  de la Vallée Poussin means of the Fourier series of  $f$  ( $s_n f$  is the  $n$ th symmetrical partial sum of (1))

$$v_n f = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) (s_n f + s_{n+1} f \cdots + s_{2n-1} f)$$

and let  $v_n(x)$  be the corresponding kernel

$$v_n(x) = 2K_{2n-1}(x) - K_{n-1}(x),$$

where

$$K_n(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^n (1 - |k|/n) e^{ikx}$$

is the Fejér kernel. By  $f * g$  we denote the convolution

$$(f * g)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-t) g(t) dt.$$

For any complex number  $z$  define the function  $\overline{\text{sign}} z = \bar{z}/z$  for  $z \neq 0$ ,  $\overline{\text{sign}} z = 0$  if  $z = 0$ . By  $v_n A$  we denote the de la Vallée Poussin means of the series (3).

PROPOSITION 1. *A necessary and sufficient condition for  $\mathcal{L}$  to belong to the Zygmund class  $L_*$  is that for arbitrary  $m, n \rightarrow \infty, m > n, m/n = O(1)$ , we should have*

$$\|v_m A - v_n A\|_L = O(1). \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{L} \in L_*$ . That implies  $E_n(\mathcal{L})_L = O(1/n)$ . Considering Bernstein's inequality and the properties of the de la Vallée Poussin means we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_m A - v_n A\|_L &\leq 2m \|v_m \mathcal{L} - v_n \mathcal{L}\|_L \\ &\leq 2m (\|v_n \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}\|_L + \|\mathcal{L} - v_n \mathcal{L}\|_L) \\ &\leq 8m (E_m(\mathcal{L})_L + E_n(\mathcal{L})_L) = O(m(1/m + 1/n)) \\ &= O(1 + m/n). \end{aligned}$$

As we have supposed  $m/n = O(1)$  this proves the necessity of (6). To prove sufficiency suppose that (6) holds. Then using Jackson's theorem we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_m \mathcal{L} - v_n \mathcal{L}\|_L &\leq 4E_n(v_m \mathcal{L})_L \leq (4/n) E_n(v_m A)_L \\ &\leq (4/n) \|v_m A - v_{[n/2]} A\|_L = O(1/n). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

To estimate  $E_n(\mathcal{L})_L$  consider the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L} - v_n \mathcal{L}\|_L &= \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{v_{2^{k+1}n} \mathcal{L} - v_{2^k n} \mathcal{L}\} \right\|_L \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|v_{2^{k+1}n} \mathcal{L} - v_{2^k n} \mathcal{L}\|_L. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (7) this leads to

$$\|\mathcal{L} - v_n \mathcal{L}\|_L \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} O\left(\frac{1}{2^k n}\right) = O(1/n),$$

which in its turn yields  $E_n(\mathcal{L})_L = O(1/n)$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{L} \in L_*$ . This concludes the proof of the proposition.

### 3. MAIN THEOREM

Let  $\omega(\delta)$  be a modulus of continuity. Consider the sequence  $\Delta(\omega) = \{\delta_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ , defined by induction ( $k \geq 0$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 &= 2\pi, \\ \delta_{k+1} &= \min \left\{ \delta : \max \left( \frac{\omega(\delta)}{\omega(\delta_k)}, \frac{\delta \omega(\delta_k)}{\delta_k \omega(\delta)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The sequence  $\Delta(\omega)$  has among others the following properties (see [3]):

$$1. \quad (1/c) \omega(\delta) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega(\delta_k) \min(1, \delta/\delta_k) \leq c\omega(\delta); \quad (9)$$

$$2. \quad \delta_k/\delta_{k+1} = O(1) \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{if and only if} \\ \omega(\delta) \text{ satisfies the condition (5)}. \quad (10)$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\omega(\delta)$  be a slowly decreasing modulus of continuity, i.e.,  $\omega(\delta)/\sqrt{\delta} \uparrow \infty$  ( $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ ). Let  $\Delta(\omega) = \{\delta_k\}$  be defined by (8) and let*

$n_k = [1/\delta_k]$ . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\lambda = \{\lambda_k\}$  to be of the type  $(C_\omega, C_\omega)$  is

$$\|v_{n_{k+1}}A - v_{n_k}A\|_L = O(1). \quad (11)$$

*Proof. Sufficiency.* Let  $f \in C_\omega$  and suppose (11) holds. Consider the series ( $m_k = [n_k/2]$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (v_{n_{k+1}}f_\lambda - v_{n_k}f_\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (v_{2n_{k+1}}f - v_{m_k}f) * (v_{n_{k+1}}A - v_{n_k}A). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Since  $\|v_{2n_{k+1}}f - v_{m_k}f\|_C = O(\omega(1/m_k)) = O(\omega(1/n_k))$  we see that by (11) and (8) the series (12) converges uniformly and thus defines a continuous function  $f_\lambda$ . Let us estimate the modulus of continuity of  $f_\lambda$ . We have for  $0 < h < \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_\lambda(x+h) - f_\lambda(x)\|_C = \|A_h f_\lambda\|_C \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_h \{v_{n_{k+1}}f_\lambda - v_{n_k}f_\lambda\} \right\|_C \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{A_h f\} * \{v_{n_{k+1}}A - v_{n_k}A\} \right\|_C \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{A_h(v_{2n_{k+1}}f - v_{m_k}f)\} * \{v_{n_{k+1}}A - v_{n_k}A\} \right\|_C \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|A_h(v_{2n_{k+1}}f - v_{m_k}f)\|_C \|v_{n_{k+1}}A - v_{n_k}A\|_L. \end{aligned}$$

Let us examine the norm of the difference  $A_h(v_{2n_{k+1}}f - v_{m_k}f)$ . On the one hand we have by Jackson's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A_h(v_{2n_{k+1}}f - v_{m_k}f)\|_C \leq 2 \|v_{2n_{k+1}}f - v_{m_k}f\|_C \\ &= O(E_{m_k}(f)_C) = O(\omega(1/m_k)) = O(\omega(\delta_k)). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, applying Bernstein's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A_h(v_{2n_{k+1}}f - v_{m_k}f)\|_C = O(n_{k+1}h \|v_{2n_{k+1}}f - v_{m_k}f\|_C) \\ &= O(\delta n_{k+1}\omega(\delta_k)). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\omega(\delta)$  is slowly decreasing, in view of (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|A_h(v_{2n_{k+1}}f - v_{m_k}f)\|_C &= O(\omega(\delta_k)\delta/\delta_{k+1}) \\ &= O(\omega(\delta_{k+1})\delta/\delta_{k+1}).\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

Combining (13) and (14) we obtain by (9) and (11)

$$\|A_h f_\lambda\|_C = O\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega(\delta_k) \min(1, \delta/\delta_k)\right) = O(\omega(\delta)),$$

thus  $f_\lambda \in C_\omega$ . This proves the sufficiency part of the theorem.

*Necessity.* In view of Theorem A we only have to consider the case  $\mathcal{L} \in L_*$ . Suppose (11) does not hold and that  $\mathcal{L} \in L_*$ . Then there exists a sequence of indices  $\{k(l)\}$  such that

$$\|v_{n_{k(l)+1}}A - v_{n_{k(l)}}A\|_L \geq l. \quad (15)$$

Let

$$G_k(x) = \overline{\text{sign}}\{v_{n_{k+1}}A(-x) - v_{n_k}A(-x)\}$$

and

$$\phi_k(x) = G_k(x) * \{v_{n_{k+1}}(x) - v_{n_k}(x)\}.$$

The functions  $\phi_k$  are trigonometric polynomials of the order  $2n_{k+1}$ , orthogonal to trigonometric polynomials of an order less than  $n_k$ . Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega(\delta_k) \phi_k(x).$$

Since  $\|\phi_k\|_C = O(1)$  this series, in view of (8), converges uniformly, hence  $f \in C$ . To estimate the modulus of continuity of  $f$  observe that by Bernstein's inequality

$$\omega(\phi_k, \delta) = O(\min\{1, n_{k+1}\delta\}) = O(\min\{1, \delta/\delta_{k+1}\}).$$

Applying (9) we see that  $f \in C_\omega$ .

Next let us prove that  $f_\lambda \notin C_\omega$ . If  $f_\lambda$  is to belong to  $C_\omega$  we should have ( $m_k = [n_k/2]$ )

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_{2n_{k+1}}f_\lambda - v_{m_k}f_\lambda\|_C &\leq 4(E_{2n_{k+1}}(f))_C + E_{m_k}(f)_C \\ &= O(\omega(1/n_k)) = O(\omega(\delta_k)).\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

On the other hand we have chosen the polynomials  $\phi_k$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{2n_{k+1}}f_\lambda - v_{m_k}f_\lambda \\ &= \{\omega(\delta_{k-1})\phi_{k-1} + \omega(\delta_k)\phi_k + \omega(\delta_{k+1})\phi_{k+1}\} * \{v_{2n_{k+1}}A - v_{m_k}A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that all terms in the last convolution are trigonometric polynomials of specially chosen order we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{2n_{k+1}}f_\lambda - v_{m_k}f_\lambda \\ &= \omega(\delta_k)(\phi_k)_\lambda + \omega(\delta_{k-1})G_{k-1} * \{v_{n_k}A - v_{m_k}A\} \\ & \quad + \omega(\delta_{k+1})G_{k+1} * \{v_{2n_{k+1}}A - v_{n_{k+1}}A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|G_k\|_C \leq 1$  the application of Young's inequality gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v_{2n_{k+1}}f_\lambda - v_{m_k}f_\lambda\|_C \\ & \geq \omega(\delta_k)(\phi_k)_\lambda(0) - \omega(\delta_{k-1})\|v_{n_k}A - v_{m_k}A\|_L \\ & \quad - \omega(\delta_{k+1})\|v_{2n_{k+1}}A - v_{n_{k+1}}A\|_L. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Proposition 1 and (8) this gives us

$$\|v_{2n_{k+1}}f_\lambda - v_{m_k}f_\lambda\|_C \geq \omega(\delta_k)(\phi_k)_\lambda(0) + O(\omega(\delta_k)).$$

Using the construction of  $\phi_k$  we obtain by virtue of (15) ( $k = k(l)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_{k(l)})_\lambda(0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{\text{sign}}\{v_{n_{k+1}}A(-t) - v_{n_k}A(-t)\} \\ & \quad * \{v_{n_{k+1}}A(-t) - v_{n_k}A(-t)\} dt \\ &= \|v_{n_{k+1}}A - v_{n_k}A\|_L \geq l. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v_{2n_{k(l)+1}}f_\lambda - v_{m_{k(l)}}f_\lambda\|_C \geq \omega(\delta_{k(l)})l + O(\omega(\delta_{k(l)})) \\ & \quad \neq O(\omega(\delta_{k(l)})). \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (16). This concludes the proof of the theorem.

*Remark.* If  $\omega(\delta)$  satisfies condition (5) then in view of (10) and Proposition 1 this theorem becomes equivalent to Theorem A.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. LIPPUS, On the preservation of classes of functions, *Eesti NSV Tead. Akad. Toimetised Füüs.-Mat.* **38** (1989), 1-6.

2. D. M. OBERLIN, On a theorem of Privalov and a theorem of Zygmund, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **145** (1990), 145–153.
3. K. I. OSKOLKOV, Luzin's  $C$ -property for a conjugate function, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* **164** (1983), 124–135.
4. A. ZYGMUND, On the preservation of classes of functions, *J. Math. Mech.* **8** (1959), 889–895.
5. A. ZYGMUND, "Trigonometric Series," Vol. 1, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1959.